Predictive Coating Condition Model for Advanced Asset Management WP19-1168 Victoria Avance Rebecca Marshall Matt Repasky Yao Xie Ivan Karayan Jim Dante Andrew Keller Fritz Friedersdorf Luna Labs USA, LLC., 706 Forest St., Suite A, Charlottesville, VA, USA

INTRODUCTION

Organic coating systems for aerospace applications are the primary means of corrosion protection. Legacy finishing processes expose workers to hazardous materials and generate HAPs, VOCs, and toxic waste streams. The introduction of new coating systems for chromate substitution requires improved knowledge of performance to mitigate risk and tailor maintenance practices. The overall goal of this project is a predictive coating condition model (PCCM) based on statistical survival analysis. **Objectives:**

- Verify assumptions and model predictions for coating damage and inhibitor exhaustion in laboratory tests, outdoor exposures, and combined effects testing.
- Establish a protocol for coating testing to extend the model to other coatings and material Threshold 2 systems.
- PCCM module for Complete integration with asset tracking and maintenance systems.



MODELING APPROACH

Failure of a properly formulated and applied outer mold line (OML) coating system consists of two distinct processes: mechanical damage exposing substrate and loss of protection by inhibitor exhaustion. The failure time is dependent on these two stages of deterioration.

A range of measurement techniques and tests have been used to detect the transition from a protected to an unprotected state for aluminum surfaces with organic coatings containing chromate or substitute corrosion inhibitors. Coating failure is modeled as a stochastic process of discrete degradation events.

- 1. Temporal point processes (TPP) are a class of stochastic models used to predict discrete events in time.
- 2. Self-exciting TPP (Hawkes process) assumes that past events impact the probability of future events.
- 3. A TPP model of degradation events is used to determine a prediction interval for coating failure.







MODEL ASSUMPTION VERIFICATION

COATING AGING DOES NOT EFFECT INHIBITOR EXHAUSTION

Aging of an intact coating system does not affect the ability of the primer to protect a substrate once the coating is damaged. Coated panels exposed for three months in $\overline{\underline{G}}$ Florida were compared to lab aged panels F in a cyclic corrosion test. There was no difference in free or galvanic corrosion for outdoor and lab aged coatings.

INHIBITOR PROTECTION DOMINATES COATING LIFETIME

Aerospace chromate and non-chrome coating systems crack at structural discontinuities at low strains indicating that substrate protection by corrosion inhibitors dominates coating lifetime. Under deep freeze conditions (high-altitudes), cracking occurs at very low strains 0.14%.

INHIBITOR EXHAUSTION

inhibitor [‡] Inhibition is dependent on chemistry, leach rate, and pH. Once inhibition occurs at a defect it is difficult to re-initiate corrosion. Continued protection is dependent on both availability of Critical Concentration inhibitor and persistence of the passive Chromate: 5×10⁻⁶ M Pr_2O_3 : 1×10⁻⁴ M film.

SEQUENCE OF SEVERITY DOES NOT HAVE LASTING EFFECT

Cumulative severity could be used as a $\frac{1.8}{\odot_{1.6}}$ model input without considering the 51 sequence of exposure severity. This was $\frac{1}{5}$ confirmed in cyclic relative humidity tests 20.8 with the same total severity produced using $\overline{\mathbb{G}}^{0.9}$ different salt loading sequences. Sequence of severity did not influence total free corrosion or galvanic corrosion after the first four week period.







MODELING RESULTS

Continuous environment and coating performance Stored (Acuity measurements CR[™]) in cyclic laboratory and outdoor tests were used to model the loss of coating protection at a defect.

MODELING LOSS OF INHIBITOR PROTECTION

Coating degradation modeled as a stochastic using discrete process events. Failure may be the first occurrence of blisters, start of blister propagation, current decay time (τ). self constant A excitation point process model is used to predict a 3 0.6 time window for coating failure. Environment events are defined by both humidity and contaminant thresholds.

PREDICTING COATING FAILURE

The number of environment events to failure are predicted using a shock model.

Non-chromate primer had shorter time r to failure with less variability, as compared to the chromate primer.

CONCLUSIONS

Coating failure predictions can be made using environment event shock models.

The coating performance models need to be trained on environment and corrosion data specific to the primer.

Primer testing and qualification results could be used to train models for coating life predictions for assumed or actual usage environments.

QUNALABS

SERDP & ESTCP Symposium 2023 Arlington, VA; 11/28/23-12/01/23

AEROSPACE COATING PROTECTIVE PROPERTY MEASUREMENTS



Non-Chromate

	Time	Charge	# Events
Mean	1070	61.9	11.0
Stdev	195	12.0	1.41
CV		19.4%	12.9%

Chromate

	Time	Charge	# Events
Mean	1450	24.1	15.5
Stdev	541	11.5	3.50
CV		47.7%	22.6%